## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Ų	MITED STATES OF AMERICA		
	V.	ORD	DER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Lorenzo De Jesus-Reyes	Case Number:	11-10350M-001
present and	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 31 was represented by counsel. I conclude by the defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing a preponderance of the e	was held on September 29, 2011. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a pr	reponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
<b></b>	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.		
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	f	years imprisonment.
The at the time o	f the hearing in this matter, except as note	d in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court
1.	CC There is a serious risk that the defend	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
1. 2.			Alexander of the latest the second
۷.			the appearance of the defendant as required.
The		NS REGARDING DETEN	ITION //her designated representative for confinement in
a corrections appeal.  The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of	from persons awaiting or s opportunity for private cons Government, the person i	erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
		AND THIRD PARTY REL	
IT IS deliver a cop Court.	on ORDERED that should an appeal of this one of the motion for review/reconsideration to the motion for review for the motion fo	detention order be filed wit o Pretrial Services at least	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suf	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ficiently in advance of the hearing before ne potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be cons the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATE: <u>Se</u>	ptember 29, 2011_		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge
			, , ,